

# Program Review Department Data Packet - DANC

## Enrollment Patterns & Course Offerings

Department	Metric	Term				
		Spring 2005	Spring 2006	Spring 2007	Spring 2008	Spring 2009
DANC	Unique Headcount	217	239	231	315	278
	Total Course Enrollments	220	244	246	345	292
	# of Course Offerings	7	7	8	11	9
	# of Section Offerings	8	9	11	14	11
	Ave Enrollment per Section*	27.5	27.1	22.4	24.6	26.5

\*Color Coding: Cells shaded pink contain values 10% lower than the College average; cells shaded blue contain values 10% above the College average.


Data Definitions: **Unique Headcount** is the count of individual students (no duplicates) enrolled in any courses within the Department

**Total Course Enrollments** is the sum of all individual section enrollments within the Department.

**# of Course Offerings** is the number of courses offered within the department for that term.

**# of Section Offerings** is the number of course sections offered within the department for that term.

**Ave Enrollment per Section** is the average number of students per section (Average Class Size).



### Some questions to get you thinking:

- \* Compare course enrollments to section offerings. What is the relationship between the two trends?
- \* Consider the trend in average enrollments per section. How does that trend compare to the trend in section offerings?
- \* How does your Department's average enrollment per section compare to the college average? Why might the be different?
- \* Consider the levels & growth of course enrollments and unique headcount. What does the difference tell you about your students?
- \* Do the trends suggest any goals or enrollment targets for the department?

# Program Review Department Data Packet - DANC


## Department Efficiency

Department	Metric	Term				
		Spring 2005	Spring 2006	Spring 2007	Spring 2008	Spring 2009
DANC	WSCH	690	750	726	947	834
	FTES	23.0	25.0	24.2	31.6	27.8
	FTE	0.9	1.05	1.05	1.35	1.2
	Load*	767	714	691	702	695

\*Color Coding: Cells shaded pink contain values 10% lower than the College average; cells shaded blue contain values 10% above the College average.

**Data Definitions:** **WSCH** is the total Weekly Student Contact Hours resulting from all enrollment within the department.  
**FTES** is the total Full Time Equivalent Student value resulting from all enrollment within the department.  
**FTE** is the Full Time Equivalent faculty associated with the Department's course offerings for that term.  
**Load** is the ratio of WSCH to FTE and a standard measure of department efficiency.

### Department Overview



#### Some questions to get you thinking:

- \* What are the overall trends for Dept FTES & Load? Are the trends moving in the same direction?
- \* Were there any deviations or sudden changes in the trend over the period? What do you think might be the underlying causes?
- \* How does your Dept load compare with the college average? Are the trends similar? Why might they be different?
- \* Given these trends and your reflection on their causes, what do you think are reasonable one-year and three-year targets for FTES & Load?

# Program Review Department Data Packet - DANC

## Student Performance Profile

Department	Metric	Term				
		Spring 2005	Spring 2006	Spring 2007	Spring 2008	Spring 2009
DANC	Success Rate*	67.7%	75.4%	69.1%	67.2%	73.3%
	Retention Rate*	81.4%	90.6%	87.8%	87.5%	87.3%
	Ave Units Attempted this Term	6.2	6.9	5.9	5.3	5.2
	Ave Units Earned this Term	4.6	4.7	4.1	3.8	3.5
	Ave Term GPA	2.21	2.29	2.35	2.15	2.05
	Ave Cumulative GPA	2.7	2.67	2.83	2.79	2.67

**\*Color Coding:** Cells shaded pink contain values 10% lower than the College average; cells shaded blue contain values 10% above the College average.

**Data Definitions:** **Success Rate** is the percentage of students receiving a passing grade (A, B, C or CR) relative to all students receiving a grade.

**Retention Rate** is the percentage of students receiving any grade other than W relative to all students receiving a grade.


**Ave Units Attempted this Term** is the average number of units associated with students enrollment for the term after the add/drop deadline.

**Ave Units Earned this Term** is the average number of course units awarded to the student at the end of the given term.

**Ave Term GPA** is the average current term GPA of all students taking courses in the department for the given term.

**Ave Cumulative GPA** is the average cumulative GPA of all students taking courses in the department for the given term.

## Student Performance Profile



### Some questions to get you thinking:


- \* What are the overall trends in success rate and retention rate? Why might they be exhibiting those patterns?
- \* Consider the levels & trends in student GPA and Unit Load? Could they explain any of the patterns in success and retention?
- \* What do you think are the two or three underlying causes driving those trends and how might they be improved?
- \* Are you generally satisfied with the departments current success & retention rates? How do they compare with the college average?

## Student Enrollment Status Profile


Department	Metric	Term				
		Spring 2005	Spring 2006	Spring 2007	Spring 2008	Spring 2009
DANC	First-Time Student	26	31	31	42	29
	Continuing Student	139	163	146	199	165
	Returning Student	43	37	45	59	63
	Concurrent Enrollment	9	8	9	15	21
	Percent First Time	12%	13%	13%	13%	10%
	Percent Continuing	64%	68%	63%	63%	59%
	Percent Returning	20%	15%	19%	19%	23%
	Percent Concurrent	4%	3%	4%	5%	8%

**Data Definitions:** **First Time Student** A student that has never attended this DISTRICT, but may have attended or may be currently attending another college.  
**Returning Student** is returning to this DISTRICT and has not attended another institution since the last term here or is returning to this DISTRICT after attending another college.  
**Continuing Students** are those that attended the DISTRICT in immediately previous primary term. Fall & Spring are primary terms.  
**Concurrent Enrollment** is a student that is attending high school during the term for which he/she is applying.

**Spring 2005**



**Spring 2009**



Some questions to get you thinking:

- \* How has the proportion first-time, continuing & returning students in your department changed over the period?
- \* Does this change suggest any response strategy for the department?
- \* How does the current picture compare with the college average and what does that tell you?

# Program Review Department Data Packet - DANC

## Student Goal Orientation


Department	Metric	Term				
		Spring 2005	Spring 2006	Spring 2007	Spring 2008	Spring 2009
DANC	Transfer (w/ or w/o Degree)	101	120	108	79	69
	Degree or Certificate	16	22	18	19	12
	Career Development	13	9	20	24	28
	Educational Development	29	43	44	47	46
	Undecided	12	9	11	101	72
	Other Goal	46	36	30	40	45
	Percent Transfer	47%	50%	47%	25%	25%
	Percent Degree or Certificate	7%	9%	8%	6%	4%
	Percent Career Development	6%	4%	9%	8%	10%
	Percent Education Development	13%	18%	19%	15%	17%
	Percent Undecided	6%	4%	5%	32%	26%
	Percent Other	21%	15%	13%	13%	16%

**Data Definitions:** All counts & percentages reflect the student's primary educational goal as indicated on their first application.

**Note 1:** Percentages do not sum to 100% because the Transfer category is not mutually exclusive with Degree Orientation.

**Note 2:** Because of limited space only the first four categories are plotted below. Consider the patterns associated with the Undecided and Other categories when identifying and analyzing department trends.

### Sample of Student Goal Orientation



#### Some questions to get you thinking:

- \* What are the most important trends occurring over the period? Do the data match your perceptions ?
- \* What do you think are the underlying causes driving these trends ?
- \* Does this change suggest any response strategy for the department?
- \* How do the department trends compare to the college? Why might the two show different trends?


# Program Review Department Data Packet - DANC

## Student Demographics - Ethnicity


Department	Metric	Term				
		Spring 2005	Spring 2006	Spring 2007	Spring 2008	Spring 2009
DANC	African-American	5	3	6	6	2
	Asian or Pacific Islander	29	26	20	47	31
	Caucasian	64	73	67	92	85
	Hispanic	111	116	123	139	136
	Native-American	0	0	1	0	0
	Other Ethnicity	7	18	14	29	23
	Percent African-American	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%
	Percent Asian or Pacific Islander	13%	11%	9%	15%	11%
	Percent Caucasian	29%	31%	29%	29%	31%
	Percent Hispanic	51%	49%	53%	44%	49%
	Percent Native-American	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Percent Other Ethnicity	3%	8%	6%	9%	8%

**Data Definitions:** Ethnicity category percentages may not sum to 100% due to nondisclosures.

**Spring 2005**



**Spring 2009**



Some questions to get you thinking:

- \* How has ethnicity profile of your department changed over the period? How do you interpret those changes?
- \* What might be the underlying causes driving any changes?
- \* Does this change suggest any response strategy for the department?
- \* How does the current picture compare with the college average and what does that tell you?


# Program Review Department Data Packet - DANC

## Student Demographics - Gender & Age


Department	Metric	Term				
		Spring 2005	Spring 2006	Spring 2007	Spring 2008	Spring 2009
DANC	Female	155	171	153	216	183
	Male	59	63	70	90	90
	Less than 20 Yrs Old	52	61	40	51	47
	Between 20 & 29 Yrs old	85	99	91	127	97
	Between 30 & 49 Yrs Old	46	41	65	73	67
	50 Years old & older	33	35	35	62	66
	% Female	71%	72%	66%	69%	66%
	% Male	27%	26%	30%	29%	32%
	% Less than 20 yrs old	24%	26%	17%	16%	17%
	% Between 20 & 29 yrs old	39%	41%	39%	40%	35%
	% Between 30 and 49 yrs old	21%	17%	28%	23%	24%
	% 50 Years old & older	15%	15%	15%	20%	24%

**Data Definitions:** Gender & Age category percentages may not sum to 100% due to nondisclosures.

**Spring 2005**



**Spring 2009**



Some questions to get you thinking:

- \* Have there been any significant changes in the age profile of your students over the period? How do you interpret those changes?
- \* What might be the underlying causes driving any changes? Do you expect the trend to continue?
- \* How does the current picture for the department compare with the college?
- \* Does this change suggest any response strategy for the department?

# Program Review Department Data Packet - DANC


## Student Education Attainment Level

Department	Metric	Term				
		Spring 2005	Spring 2006	Spring 2007	Spring 2008	Spring 2009
DANC	Concurrent	9	8	9	15	21
	No High School Degree	18	17	19	14	21
	High School Degree or Equiv	124	143	127	154	123
	Foreign Secondary Degree	14	11	9	13	12
	Post Secondary Degree	52	60	67	117	100
	% Concurrent Enrollment	4%	3%	4%	5%	8%
	% No High School Degree	8%	7%	8%	4%	8%
	% High School Degree or Equiv	57%	60%	55%	49%	44%
	% Foreign Secondary Degree	6%	5%	4%	4%	4%
	% Post Secondary Degree	24%	25%	29%	37%	36%


**Data Definitions:** All counts & percentages reflect the student's primary educational goal as indicated on their first application.

**Note 1:** Percentages do not sum to 100% because the Transfer category is not mutually exclusive with Degree Orientation.

### Spring 2005



### Spring 2009



### Some questions to get you thinking:

- \* Is the current education attainment profile of your students what you expected?
- \* How has the education level of the students in your department been changing over this period?
- \* What might be the underlying causes driving any changes? Do you expect the trend to continue?
- \* How does the current picture for the department compare with the college?
- \* Does this change suggest any response strategy for the department?