


ILO 1 Assessment: Critical Thinking: Select, evaluate, and use information to investigate a point of view, support a conclusion, or engage in problem solving.

Answered: 29 Skipped: 1



	below basic	basic	proficient	advanced	N/A	Total	Average Rating
Selection of information/sources	0.00% 0	34.48% 10	48.28% 14	10.34% 3	6.90% 2	29	1.74
Evaluation/analysis of evidence with respect to viewpoint, conclusion, or problem.	3.45% 1	48.28% 14	34.48% 10	10.34% 3	3.45% 1	29	1.54
Synthesis of evidence and logic to support viewpoint, make a conclusion, or solve problem.	3.45% 1	41.38% 12	44.83% 13	6.90% 2	3.45% 1	29	1.57

Cañada College

Critical Thinking Rubric Assessment Data

Assessment


Semester/Year: Spring 2014

Score	Criteria			Points earned	Max points
Student's Score (4 Levels)	1. Selects sources	2. Analysis of evidence	3. Synthesis of evidence; use of logic		
G1	2	2	2	6	9
G2	2	2	2	6	9
G3	3	3	2	8	9
G4	1	1	1	3	9
G5	2	2	2	6	9
G6	1	1	1	3	9
G7	1	2	2	5	9
G8	2	1	2	5	9
G9	2	1	2	5	9
G10	1	1	1	3	9
G11	2	2	2	6	9
G12	2	1	1	4	9
G13	2	2	2	6	9
G14	3	3	3	9	9
G15	2	1	1	4	9
G16	1	1	1	3	9
G17	2	2	2	6	9
G18	n	n	n	0	0
G19	1	0	0	1	9
G20	3	3	3	9	9
G21	2	1	1	4	9
G22	2	2	2	6	9
G23	1	1	2	4	9
G24				0	0
G25				0	0
G26	1	1	1	3	9
G27				0	0
G28	n	n	n	0	0
G29	1	1	1	3	9
G30				0	0
G31	2	1	1	4	9
G32	1	1	1	3	9
G33				0	0
G34	2	2	2	6	9
G35	2	2	2	6	9
				0	0
				0	0
				0	0
				0	0
				0	0
				0	0
				0	0
				0	0

Summary	Criteria		
Number of Students	1. Selects sources	2. Analysis of evidence	3. Synthesis of evidence; use of logic
Below Basic	0	1	1
Basic	10	14	12
Proficient	14	10	13
Advanced	3	3	2
Total	27	28	28

Summary	Criteria		
Percent of Students	1. Selects sources	2. Analysis of evidence	3. Synthesis of evidence; use of logic
Below Basic (1)	0%	4%	4%
Basic (2)	37%	50%	43%
Proficient (3)	52%	36%	46%
Advanced (4)	11%	11%	7%
Total	100%	100%	100%
Top Two Levels (2 & 3)	63%	46%	54%


Top Two Levels: Proficient and Advanced
 Green: Greater than 70%; Red: 70% or less



Rubric score:
 0= Below Basic/Not Satisfactory
 1= Basic/Satisfactory
 2= Proficient
 3= Advanced

ILO 2 Assessment Creativity: Produce, combine, or synthesize ideas in creative ways within or across disciplines.


Answered: 4 Skipped: 26



	below basic	basic	proficient	advanced	N/A	Total	Average Rating
Competency from one or more disciplines	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 4	4	0.00
Originality	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 4	4	0.00
Combining, synthesizing	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 4	4	0.00

ILO 3 Assessment: Communication Use language to effectively convey an idea or a set of facts, including the accurate use of source material and evidence according to institutional and discipline standards.

Answered: 27 Skipped: 3




	below basic	basic	proficient	advanced	N/A	Total	Average Rating
Effectiveness	11.11% 3	25.93% 7	44.44% 12	14.81% 4	3.70% 1	27	1.65
Conventions of standard, edited English	7.41% 2	11.11% 3	66.67% 18	11.11% 3	3.70% 1	27	1.85
Documentation	7.69% 2	26.92% 7	11.54% 3	7.69% 2	46.15% 12	26	1.36

ILO 4 Assessment: Community

Understand and interpret various points of view that emerge from a diverse world of peoples and cultures.

Answered: 5 Skipped: 25




	below basic	basic	proficient	advanced	N/A	Total	Average Rating
Provide evidence of understanding	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	80.00% 4	5	4.00
Critical Assessment	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	80.00% 4	5	4.00
Use of sound reasoning to support claims	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	20.00% 1	80.00% 4	5	4.00

ILO 5 Assessment: Quantitative Reasoning

Represent complex data in various mathematical forms (e.g., equations, graphs, diagrams, tables, and words) and analyze these data to draw appropriate conclusions.

Answered: 27 Skipped: 3



	below basic	basic	proficient	advanced	N/A	Total	Average Rating
Clarity of Representation(s)	3.70% 1	29.63% 8	40.74% 11	22.22% 6	3.70% 1	27	2.85
Analysis	3.70% 1	25.93% 7	55.56% 15	14.81% 4	0.00% 0	27	2.81
Conclusion / Reflection	0.00% 0	22.22% 6	59.26% 16	18.52% 5	0.00% 0	27	2.96

Cañada College

Quantitative Thinking Rubric Assessment Data

Semester/Year: Spring 2014

Eportfolio assessment


Score Student's Score (4 Levels) portfolio #	Criteria			Points earned	Max points
	1. Clarity of Representation(s)	2. Analysis	3. Conclusion / Reflection		
G1	2	1	2	5	9
G2	3	2	2	7	9
G3	3	3	3	9	9
G4	0	1	2	3	9
G5	2	2	2	6	9
G6	1	0	1	2	9
G7	2	2	2	6	9
G8	1	2	2	5	9
G9	N	2	2	4	6
G10	1	1	1	3	9
G11	2	2	2	6	9
G12	2	2	2	6	9
G13	2	2	2	6	9
G14	3	3	3	9	9
G15	2	1	1	4	9
G16	1	1	2	4	9
G17				0	0
G18	3	3	3	9	9
G19				0	0
G20	3	3	3	9	9
G21				0	0
G22	1	2	2	5	9
G23	2	2	2	6	9
G24				0	0
G25				0	0
G26	1	1	1	3	9
G27				0	0
G28	2	2	2	6	9
G29	2	2	2	6	9
G30				0	0
G31	1	2	1	4	9
G32	1	1	1	3	9
G33				0	0
G34	2	2	2	6	9
G35	3	3	3	9	9
				0	0
				0	0

Summary Number of Students	Criteria		
	1. Clarity of Representation(s)	2. Analysis	3. Conclusion / Reflection
Below Basic	1	1	0
Basic	8	7	6
Proficient	11	14	16
Advanced	6	5	5
Total	26	27	27

Summary Percent of Students	Criteria		
	1. Clarity of Representation(s)	2. Analysis	3. Conclusion / Reflection
Below Basic (0)	4%	4%	0%
Basic (1)	31%	26%	22%
Proficient (2)	42%	52%	59%
Advanced (3)	23%	19%	19%
Total	100%	100%	100%
Top Two Levels (3 & 4)	65%	70%	78%


Top Two Levels: Proficient and Advanced

Green: Greater than 70%; Red: 70% or less



Assessment of Reflection: Reflection articulately explains student's thinking and learning processes, as well as implications for future learning and makes clear connections between this learning experience, the goals of the course for which the assignment was completed as well as with personal and academic goals.

Answered: 27 Skipped: 3



	below basic	basic	proficient	advanced	N/A	Total	Average Rating
Reflective thinking	7.41% 2	37.04% 10	40.74% 11	7.41% 2	7.41% 2	27	2.52
Making connections	15.38% 4	42.31% 11	26.92% 7	7.69% 2	7.69% 2	26	2.29

[Comments \(3\)](#)