Cañada College Academic Senate

http://canadacollege.edu/academicsenate

2:10pm – 4:00pm

Zoom Link: https://smccd.zoom.us/j/84174625171

Minutes 04/14/2022

Meetings of the Academic Senate are open to all members of the public. Materials are posted on the meeting page of the Senate website.

Attending: David Eck, Natalie Alizaga, Gerardo Pacheco, Daryan Chan, Lisa Palmer,

Aalamud, Nick DeMello, Anne Nichols, Diana Tedone-Goldstone, Nick Martin,

ertle, Bryan Jeong, Richard Schulke

No.	Item/Topic	Presenter	Time	Action
1	Call to Order	Eck	2:10	Procedure
2	Introductions	Eck	1	Information
3	Adoption of Consent Agenda	Eck	1	Action Motion: MM 2 nd : LP Yes: 10 No:
4	Adoption of Agenda	Eck	1	Action

		Motion: KS
		2 nd : LP
		V 10
		Yes: 10
		No:

Consent Agenda

All items on the consent agenda may, by unanimous vote of the Academic Senate members present, be approved by one motion after allowing for Senate member questions about a particular item. Prior to a motion for approval of the consent agenda, any Senate member, interested student, citizen or member of the staff may request that an item be removed from Consent to be discussed in the order listed, after approval of remaining items on the consent agenda.

5.1	03/24/2022 Minutes			
5.2	AB 361 – Renewal of Virtual Meeting Mod	dality for Academic	Senate	
	 This acknowledges our use of AB 30 as a Brown Act meeting, without had location Academic Senate passed a resolution 	aving to post the ad	ldress of	our physical
	our virtual modalities			
	 If anyone would like to argue that i 			-
	person, then simply request that the	nis item be pulled fr	om cons	ent. From
	there, we would debate the matter	·.		
Public C	Comment			
6	Questions/comments on non-agenda	Public	5	Information
	items			
	 Sarah Harmon shared information about Zero Textbook Cost (ZTC) Adoption Program: Open for all faculty! Applications are open until April 30. There is one faculty who has signed up to work this summer in the Adoption Program. More faculty are needed. Faculty can get compensation for switching their 			

				[]
	course materials to zero-cost			
	options! More info can be found in			
	this link:			
	https://canadacollege.edu/academic			
	<u>senate/tas_earlyadopterprogram.ph</u>			
	p			
	AN shared this information about			
	faculty who use scanning scantrons			
	in Business, Design & Workforce			
	Division. She shared that the division			
	does not want to buy a new machine			
	since no one uses them anymore.			
	AN asked if Academic Senate can			
	help this faculty to buy or have			
	access to a scantron machine. DE			
	will research more information			
	about this issue and bring some			
	feedback to share regarding			
	scantron machines on campus.			
	• KS acknowledged and congratulated			
	Gerardo Pacheco and Natalie Alizaga			
	for reaching tenure status.			
	• MM shared information about the			
	Work Load Pilot Program to quantify			
	work load from AFT. A formstack is			
	being created to keep track of			
	points. MM advised faculty to check			
	their AFT contract to learn about			
	what is this pilot program. Also,			
	nomination to work at AFT are			
	opened until April 20 ^{th.}			
Consta	Business			
Senate	business			
7.1	Technology ITS support, especially for	Reed	15	Information,
	evening classes			Discussion
	 Sharing of plans to increase ITS 			
	support for evening classes			
	 Discussion of short-term possible 			
	actions to help address the need			

	I	
Reed stated he had a Technology		
Committee meeting yesterday, 4/13,		
and Daman Grewal, Chief		
Communication Officer, was part of		
this meeting.		
Reed shared that he is planning to		
hire a multimedia student assistant		
to support (in-class) faculty. He is		
planning to also hire more support		
staff to support evening classes. He		
also shared that some staff support		
has been hired in BUS division.		
• Reed shared that Ron Andrade, the		
new director of student support, will		
also hire and train support staff for		
evening classes. In addition, Daman		
Grewal will be looking at the IT staff		
to accommodate support for		
evening classes.		
• Reed stated that he is creating a		
survey with the help of PRIE to		
collect data to see what modality		
faculty needs. This survey will be		
sent to all faculty teaching		
multimodal teaching assignments at		
the end of spring semester, so the		
support starts in summer and fall		
semester.		
• Reed stated that he wants to hire 3		
or 4 student assistants who will be		
available to work in the evenings. In		
addition, Reed stated that a new full-		
time tenure track instructional		
designer will be hired to support		
faculty. Moreover, Reed stated that		
Tech support and pedagogical		
support is also important for Fall.		
• KS shared that ESL faculty has shared		
frustration regarding training faculty		
and support. For example, the QOTL		
(Quality Online Teaching & Learning)		
 		1

r			
	course is heavy on pedagogy, but		
	there is also a need for hands on		
	training on how to use the machines,		
	cameras, monitors, etc. There is a		
	group of instructors who need		
	support with learning how to handle		
	the hardware, and not as much as		
	learning how to teach. Reed agrees		
	that some instructors need different		
	help and agrees that we need to		
	structure the help accordingly.		
	• Kiran Malavede agreed with KS. She		
	shared that the support is needed to		
	support faculty, both morning and		
	evening. She also stated that student		
	support is also important to be equal		
	for evening and morning students.		
	She also stated that the survey		
	needs to be sent to every faculty. In		
	addition, she stated that Library staff		
	has been supporting students with		
	technology, and it is key that the		
	new tenure track instructional		
	designer can also support the library		
	with these efforts. Reed stated that		
	the survey will help us catered the		
	need for all students. The survey		
	needs to be crafted to get this		
	valuable information. Reed agrees to		
	send the survey to all faculty, and it		
	is also important to prioritize faculty		
	who are teaching with a specific		
	modality. Reed also stated that the		
	library staff has been key to		
	supporting students with		
	technology, and efforts have been		
	made to request personnel help.		
	• DE asked if there is a support staff		
	available on call this semester? Reed		
	said no. He will advocate for this		
	support to get this help this spring.		
I I			

7.2	 GT shared that an item will be part of the next Academic Senate meeting about supporting a District Library System Manager position that will help with a lot of the back end of checking out technology and coordinating with Skyline and CSM. Improving Transparency and 	Yonemura-	20	Action
7.2	Accountability of Title IX Process –	Fabian	20	rectori
	Petition	i ubiuli		DC motions to
				express support
	AFT's Anti-Oppression committee			for this petition.
	has written <u>an open letter to the</u>			2 nd : DT
	District regarding the need for			
	Improvements to Title IX			
	reporting			Yes: 11
	Fabian, Suji Venkataram, Maryam			No:
	Khan shared information about Title			
	IX and asked Academic Senate to			Abstain: ND
	support this open letter.			
	Fabian shared the open letter to the District:			
	District: https://actionnetwork.org/petitions/			
	we-demand-a-fair-and-transparent-			
	title-ix-process			
	 Fabian shared that 2 years ago 			
	(2020) this subcommittee was			
	created to focus on defending the			
	right of students, staff, and faculty to			
	learn and work without oppression.			
	She also stated that AOC forms			
	gender oppression task force to			
	explore topics around gender			
	oppression in learning environment,			
	hold a safe space conversation room			
	to review anonymous experiences of			
	gender targeted oppression.			
	Suji Venkataram read the letter and asked Academic Senate to read and			
	asked Academic Senate to read and			
	sign this petition. You may read the			

	letter and demands here:			
	https://actionnetwork.org/petitions/			
	we-demand-a-fair-and-transparent-			
	title-ix-process			
	• DE asked what is missing in the			
	district response:			
	https://www.canadacollege.edu/aca			
	demicsenate/2122/Memorandum%			
	20Regarding%20AFT%20Title%20IX			
	%20Concerns.pdf. Fabian stated that			
	one example of a demand oversees			
	the investigation process; also, some			
	demands are not answered			
	accordingly. For example, the			
	definition of what retaliation is.			
	Another example is the training on			
	sexual harassment is not enough in			
	the working place. In addition, the			
	process of reporting does not really			
	make sense. Fabian shared that she			
	is concerned about what kind of			
	evidence needs to be shared to			
	report in a Title IX case.			
	 Maryam shared that other colleges 			
	across the state have updated their			
	Title IX and hopes that our district			
	will also be open to make some			
	changes to improve the process			
	reports are processed.			
7.3	Pre-semester counseling services for	Hartman	10	Information,
	students			
	 Update on processes for creating 			Action
	counseling slots for students			Action
	before semesters			
				NA motions for
	Information on what best to do if			
	you are aware of a student who			this item to be
	needs a counseling appointment			retable for the
	to register for classes but cannot			next meeting.

	find a timeslot that works for	DT seconds the
	them.	motions.
•	Opportunity to share any	
	challenges faculty are aware of	
	with regard to scheduling	Yes: 11
	counseling appointments	
	Dean Hartman came in late to this	
•	Academic Senate meeting.	
	Therefore, DE asked this item to be	
	tabled for the next meeting.	
	Hartman shared information about	
	counseling scheduling during peak	
	time (August or January) and how	
	counseling department is meeting	
	the students' needs. Hartman	
	shared that due the pandemic,	
	counseling was moved to 100	
	percent to online services so pre-	
	pandemic in a form of Zoom	
	meeting counseling. He also shared	
	that during peak times counseling	
	was drop in appointments.	
•	Hartman shared that based on the	
	feedback he has gotten from his	
	team and due to limited resources,	
	he has attempted to provide 30	
	minute appointments for everybody	
	that was available and that worked	
	with some mixed results. Some	
	students were able to book	
	appointments, yet there was a group	
	of students who didn't get	
	counseling. As a result, the	
	counseling department tried to have	
	a mix of some 30 minute	
	appointments and some drop in	
	appointments. The result was	
	appointments got full and we had	
	even fewer drop in availability for	
	our students.	

<u>г г</u>			
•	Hartman shared that in spring 2022,		
	more than 2000 counseling contacts		
	across all of our programs have been		
	completed (drop in counseling and		
	scheduled counseling		
	appointments.) In addition, she		
	shared that about 10 percent of		
	students have been coming for in-		
	person counseling appointments and		
	the overwhelming majority of		
	students have opted for Zoom		
	counseling or phone counseling		
	appointments.		
•	Hartman shared that Counseling		
	Department has extended out		
	beyond our two weeks as student		
	are gearing up for priority		
	registration to get into their classes		
	for summer and fall. In addition, he		
	stated that he is working with the		
	non-categorical counseling programs		
	like promise to see if they can open		
	up some of those counseling hours		
	to serve general counseling		
	students. Hartman reminded		
	Academic Senate that they are some		
	constraints too depending on how		
	the counselors are funded,		
	depending on whether or not they		
	can see students that aren't		
	connected to their program.		
•	Hartman shared that the big		
	takeaways are: drop in counseling is		
	the main way of meeting the needs		
	of our students, primarily during		
	peak time. Lastly, he shared that he		
	is monitoring how students are		
	reacting to returning to campus and		
	their needs as they are returning to		
	campus.		

7.4	Improve (formerly TracDat) Upgrade	Kaven & Hughes	15	Information,
7.4	 The Improve platform, where we input assessment results and complete Program Review, is currently being updated. We would like share the current progress on the upgrade and get faculty feedback. Kaven shared information about the Improve (TracDat) Platform. Kaven stated not all data will be transferred (there is a cut off at 6 years), but the historical data will be archived. Data will not be able to be accessed from 2005, but the information is archived and can be requested. The goal for this new update interface is to make this process easy and not to duplicate the work that is being asked to be completed. LP asked if all faculty have access to Improve (TracDat). Can multiple instructors update their information? Kaven answered that faculty can request permission and they can work with this platform. Instructors can be given tasks. Also, Kaven stated that instructors can decide how many courses need to report courses (Instructors can upload as many courses as they want). KS asked for clarification about what is the goal of this presentation since this new updates are not finalized. 	Kaven & Hughes	15	Information, Discussion

	1				
		from a group of instructors, and she			
		also agrees a Flex Day activity is a			
		great idea and she reminded			
		Academic Senate that volunteers			
		are needed. Kaven shared a Flex			
		Day session will be given in April 21.			
	•	ND asked why would we want to			
		leave behind some data? What is the			
		limiting factor? He suggested to			
		bring all data into the new platform			
		because it is historical data. He			
		stated that new faculty member will			
		benefit by looking at this historical			
		information. Kaven answered is that			
		Program Review would like to see			
		relevant information. MM agreed			
		with ND. The data needs to be			
		brought if it's no harder than			
		bringing some.			
	•	ND, KS, LP, and GP volunteered to be			
		and the second			
		part of the new interface faculty			
		cohort.			
7.5	Possib	cohort.	Kaven, Hughes	10	Discussion
7.5			Kaven, Hughes, & Alizaga	10	Discussion
7.5	Possib Form	cohort.	Kaven, Hughes, & Alizaga	10	Discussion
7.5		cohort.		10	Discussion
7.5		cohort. Ile Update to Personnel Request		10	Discussion
7.5		cohort. Ile Update to Personnel Request This form is part of the program		10	Discussion
7.5		cohort. Ile Update to Personnel Request This form is part of the program review process for requesting		10	Discussion
7.5		cohort. Ile Update to Personnel Request This form is part of the program review process for requesting full-time faculty positions Possible update would try to		10	Discussion
7.5		cohort. Ile Update to Personnel Request This form is part of the program review process for requesting full-time faculty positions Possible update would try to align the personnel request form		10	Discussion
7.5		cohort. Dele Update to Personnel Request This form is part of the program review process for requesting full-time faculty positions Possible update would try to align the personnel request form with what is asked in PBC		10	Discussion
7.5		cohort. Ile Update to Personnel Request This form is part of the program review process for requesting full-time faculty positions Possible update would try to align the personnel request form with what is asked in PBC presentations and President's		10	Discussion
7.5		cohort. Ile Update to Personnel Request This form is part of the program review process for requesting full-time faculty positions Possible update would try to align the personnel request form with what is asked in PBC presentations and President's recommendations		10	Discussion
7.5		cohort. Delle Update to Personnel Request This form is part of the program review process for requesting full-time faculty positions Possible update would try to align the personnel request form with what is asked in PBC presentations and President's recommendations Discussion of whether to form an		10	Discussion
7.5		cohort. Ile Update to Personnel Request This form is part of the program review process for requesting full-time faculty positions Possible update would try to align the personnel request form with what is asked in PBC presentations and President's recommendations Discussion of whether to form an Academic Senate work group to		10	Discussion
7.5		cohort. Ile Update to Personnel Request This form is part of the program review process for requesting full-time faculty positions Possible update would try to align the personnel request form with what is asked in PBC presentations and President's recommendations Discussion of whether to form an Academic Senate work group to update these forms for next		10	Discussion
7.5		cohort. Ile Update to Personnel Request This form is part of the program review process for requesting full-time faculty positions Possible update would try to align the personnel request form with what is asked in PBC presentations and President's recommendations Discussion of whether to form an Academic Senate work group to		10	Discussion
7.5		cohort. Ile Update to Personnel Request This form is part of the program review process for requesting full-time faculty positions Possible update would try to align the personnel request form with what is asked in PBC presentations and President's recommendations Discussion of whether to form an Academic Senate work group to update these forms for next academic year NA shared that the group is looking		10	Discussion
7.5		cohort. Dele Update to Personnel Request This form is part of the program review process for requesting full-time faculty positions Possible update would try to align the personnel request form with what is asked in PBC presentations and President's recommendations Discussion of whether to form an Academic Senate work group to update these forms for next academic year		10	Discussion

	Ales there is some feedland.		
	Also, there is some feedback		
	regarding adding questions on the		
	form potentially related to that the		
	president's criteria to better align		
	what they are looking for.		
•	Kaven shared that faculty should not		
	be working duplicative. These forms		
	should be aligned and connected, so		
	faculty are not looking in different		
	forms for information. Kaven also		
	stated that in the past faculty has		
	filled out these forms, but		
	sometimes, these forms don't		
	address the needs that faculty have.		
	, DE asked how can faculty capture		
	the president's criteria every year in		
	an static form like this. DE stated		
	that this something that needs to be		
	answered later. NA and Kaven		
	agrees that this can be an issue since		
	these criteria might change and lacks		
	of alignment in certain steps can also		
	create confusion, duplicative work		
	and lead faculty to feel that their		
	position request might not be		
	strong.		
•	ND shared an analogy of making an		
	argument to illustrate Program		
	Review: review program, exploring,		
	discover and identify needs and seeing		
	opportunities. ND sated that during		
	the process of making an argument		
	new information is introduced. This		
	cannot happen before someone		
	(president) tells you what the		
	evidence is. The main priority in this		
	process is that faculty discovers		
	information in this process.		
•	DE asked Academic Senate to help		
	with this form. DE will add this item		
	on the agenda for the next PBC		
	meeting upcoming Wednesday to		

	average to also are in the timeline for			
	suggest a change in the timeline for			
	personnel requests presentations.			
7.6	Bylaws Update Related to Adjunct	Eck	5	Action
	Representative Election Process			
	Proposed update would clarify			ND motions to
	eligibility of nominees and			update Bylaws
	extend the representative's term			related to
	until a new election cycle has			Adjunct
	completed in Fall semesters			Representative
	Added revision clarified that an			election process
	adjunct could serve out their			as long as HR
	term even if they didn't have an			confirms this is
	active teaching assignment in a			a permissible
	subsequent semester			move.
	The meeting page has the			
	complete proposed Bylaws			2 nd : DC
	update			
	• DE shared this file:			
	https://www.canadacollege.edu/			Yes: 10
	academicsenate/2122/Proposed			No:
	%20Update%20to%20Academic			110.
	%20Senate%20Bylaws.pdf			
	• MM asked about Section 6. She			
	asked if this could happen although			
	the faculty is not teaching in our			
	district. She stated that an Adjunct			
	faculty should be able to know if the			
	faculty will be able to teach and be			
	paid.			
7.7	First Draft of Template for Online	Eck	5	Discussion
	Faculty Profiles			
	First draft created by Nick			
	DeMello			
	Send requests for additional			
	sections or other modifications			
				1

	 to any workgroup members: Alizaga, Kippes, DeMello, and/or Eck DE shared updates about the Template for Online Faculty Profiles. In addition, DE encouraged faculty to contact him, Natalie Alizaga, Nick De Mello, Althea Kippes to learn more about these updates. Also, you can add comments to this draft. ND stated that this effort is an opportunity for faculty to interact with students and to also share information with students, and the community. It is also an opportunity to advertise yourself as a faculty member and highlight yourself as a faculty member in our college. 			
7.8	 Educational Master Plan (EMP) draft (2022-27) Link to the <u>current draft</u> Highlights from the current draft How to give feedback after the meeting Timeline of Next Steps in Finishing and Adopting the Plan DE shared a link. Faculty can comment and offer feedback on this document: <u>https://docs.google.com/document/</u> <u>d/1ass6_asibPBGOMruk3IF3vqpoFh</u> <u>8ZE3X/edit</u># Pay attention to Goal #1 and #3 primarily where instruction lives is across those two goals. The one related to student access, success, and completion, as well as building equity minded in anti-racist college 	Eck	10	Information, Discussion

	look through this document. DE stated that the next step is to provide feedback in the Instructional Planning Counsel (IPC).			
	• DE stated that this document is an			
	opportunity to work with different			
	initiatives to improve and transform			
	our college.			
Regula	nr Reports		<u> </u>	
8.1	President's report	Eck	5	Information
	San Mateo Leadership Program, via			
	the County Chamber of Commerce			
	Highlights from the State			
	Academic Senate (ASCCC) Spring			
	Plenary			
	• Secretary and Treasurer will each			
	have .2 release time next			
	academic year (as they did this			
	year)			
	•			
8.2	Treasurer's report	Chan	5	Information
	No changes to share.			
8.3	Curriculum Committee	Palmer	5	Information
	• No updates shared.			
8.4	Professional Development	Malamud	5	Information
	No reports to share.			
Other	Reports, Meetings and Deadlines			
9.1	Upcoming Events, Meetings, Deadlines			
10	Adjourn	Eck		Procedure

In accordance with the Ralph M. Brown Act and SB 751, minutes of the Cañada College Academic Senate will record the votes of all members as follows: (1) Members recorded as absent are presumed not to have voted; (2) the names of members voting in the minority or abstaining are recorded; (3) all other members are presumed to have voted in the majority.

Academic Senate for the 2021 – 2022 academic year:

President: David Eck; Vice President: Natalie Alizaga; Secretary: Gerardo Pacheco; Treasurer: Daryan Chan; Curriculum Committee: Lisa Palmer; Professional Development: Monica Malamud; Humanities and Social Sciences Division: Katherine Schertle; Science and Technology Division: Nick DeMello; Business, Design & Workforce Division: Anne Nicholls; Kinesiology, Athletics, and Dance: Bryan Jeong; ASLT: Diana Tedone-Goldstone; Student Services/Counseling: Nick Martin; Adjunct Representative: Richard Schulke