



KAREN L. SMITH, MD, MPH
State Public Health Officer & Director

State of California—Health and Human Services Agency
California Department of Public Health



GAVIN NEWSOM
Governor

May 24, 2019

Mr. Rafael Rivera
Cañada College (DG)
4200 Farm Hill Boulevard
Redwood City, CA 94061-1099

Dear Mr. Rivera:

DIAGNOSTIC RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGY SCHOOL ID No. 1006
RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGIST FLUOROSCOPY PERMIT SCHOOL ID No. 1083

On March 6 – March 7, 2019, the California Department of Public Health, Radiologic Health Branch (RHB) Certification Unit representative Mr. Pete Butterfield, Associate Health Physicist, conducted an inspection of Cañada College, Diagnostic Radiologic Technology School and its affiliated clinical sites (ACSSs).

The inspection was conducted under the authority of the California Health and Safety Code, Article 5 – Radiologic Technologists, sections 107045 and 107055, and pursuant to Title 17 of the California Code of Regulations (17 CCR), sections 30421, 30436, and 30437.

On March 6, 2019, Mr. Butterfield inspected the ACSSs of Cañada College, Diagnostic Radiologic Technology School. All inspected sites are listed in the enclosed Report of Findings. Mr. Butterfield spoke with managers, technologists, and students. Mr. Butterfield reviewed required postings as well as technologist and supervisor and operator permits/certificates and the Radiation Protection Programs (RPPs).

On March 7, 2019, Mr. Butterfield toured the Cañada College, Diagnostic Radiologic Technology School, campus and inspected school documents. Mr. Butterfield verified record-keeping requirements by reviewing graduate files for attendance, clinical logs, dosimetry reports, and competency forms. Mr. Butterfield also reviewed the school's RPP and student handbook.



An exit interview was conducted with the following Cañada College, Diagnostic Radiologic Technology School, administrative personnel: Mr. Rafael Rivera, Program Director; Ms. Jennifer Bringhurst, Clinical Coordinator; and Ms. Alejandra Valencia, Office Assistant. Items of discussion included the following:

- ACSs were missing items required by state regulations. These items are listed in the Report of Findings.
- The school was missing items required by state regulations. These items are listed in the Report of Findings.

Items listed in the Report of Findings have been corrected.

The clinical sites affiliated with Cañada College, Diagnostic Radiologic Technology School, offer satisfactory training experiences for the students. Overall, Cañada College, Diagnostic Radiologic Technology School, appears to provide an acceptable educational program for its students.

The RHB thanks you and your staff for your cooperation and assistance during the inspection. Please extend our gratitude to the ACS personnel that helped contribute to the success of this inspection. If you have any questions, please contact Pete Butterfield at (916) 319-8249 or pete.butterfield@cdph.ca.gov.

Sincerely,



Marilyn Cantrell, BSRT (R)(M)
Senior Health Physicist
Radiologic Health Branch
Certification Unit

Enclosure: Report of Findings

Report of Findings
Dates of Inspection: March 6 – March 7, 2019

Date of Report: May 24, 2019
Prepared By: Pete Butterfield, Associate Health Physicist
School Type: Diagnostic Radiologic Technology School – ID 1006
Radiologic Technologist Fluoroscopy Permit School – ID 1083

School Name: Cañada College
Diagnostic Radiologic Technology School
4200 Farm Hill Boulevard
Redwood City, CA 94061

Authority:

The Health and Safety Code (HSC), section 107045 states:

(a) The department shall approve schools for radiologic technologists that, in the judgment of the department, will provide instruction adequate to prepare individuals to meet requirements for certification as radiologic technologists under the Radiologic Technology Act (Section 27).

(b) The department shall provide for reasonable standards for approved schools, for procedures for obtaining and maintaining approval, and for revocation of approval where standards are not maintained.

HSC, section 107055 states, "The department may inspect schools for radiologic technologists prior to approval and at other times as it deems necessary to determine that the purposes of the Radiologic Technology Act (Section 27) are being met, and may require any reports from schools as it deems necessary to carry out the purposes of the Radiologic Technology Act (Section 27)."

Introduction:

On March 6 – March 7, 2019, California Department of Public Health, Radiologic Health Branch (RHB), Certification Unit, representative Mr. Pete Butterfield, Associate Health Physicist, conducted an inspection of Cañada College, Diagnostic Radiologic Technology School, and its affiliated clinical sites (ACSs). This report lists the findings, responses, and outcomes for the ACSs and the school inspections.

Report of Findings
Dates of Inspection: March 6 – March 7, 2019

AFFILIATED CLINICAL SITE FINDINGS

Seton Medical Center
1900 Sullivan Avenue
Daly City, CA 94015
Registration Number: FAC 35539

Pregnancy Policy Complete [Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Part 20, section 1208 (10 CFR 20.1208)]

Finding: The pregnancy policy did not state that the declaration of pregnancy may be withdrawn by the technologist.

Response: The ACS updated its pregnancy policy to include Regulatory Guide 8.13 at the time of inspection.

Outcome: **No further action is required.**

San Mateo Medical Center
222 West 39th Avenue
San Mateo, CA 94403
Registration Number: FAC 10015

Finding: There were no apparent items of noncompliance.

Outcome: **No action is required.**

Kaiser Permanente Medical Center
770 Calaveras Blvd.
Milpitas, CA 95035
Registration Number: FAC 37253

Finding: There were no apparent items of noncompliance.

Outcome: **No action is required.**

Report of Findings
Dates of Inspection: March 6 – March 7, 2019

SCHOOL FINDINGS

1. Acceptance of designated persons to serve as RSO and alternate RSO documented [17 CCR, section 30420]

Finding: Acceptance by the RSO and alternate RSO was not documented.

Response: The school submitted documentation of the acceptance by the RSO and alternate RSO.

Outcome: **No further action is required.**