1. **Call to Order:** M. Partlan, D. Patterson, D. Clay, J. Castello, S. Finn, D.
   Erickson, A. Hernandez, M. Malamud, C. Rhodes also: R. Lapuz, Pres. Mohr,
   VP M. McBride, S. Eslamieh

2. **Agenda:** adopted as written

3. **Secretary:** C. Rhodes for today’s meeting

4. **Minutes:** from 2_14_08 – not discussed, due to recent dissemination to
   ASGC.

5. **Public Comment:** M. Partlan – From the district budget meeting $500K extra
   requested by Facilities, cost of e-doorlocks and building maintenance?
   Comments should be submitted to admin concerning delivery of services, if
   you have any thoughts.

6. **Committee Reports:**
   
   a. **Curriculum** – J. Castello.  a. Difference in categorization of Basic Skills
      classes between campuses.  b. CurricUnet demonstrated at the CSM
      Academic Senate.  Strong interest by CSM, software that collates course
      info.  District-wide adoption of this system, so articulation and
      coordination of courses would be enforced.  Cost – $30K per campus.  c.
      Suggestion that Program Review should be done by degree rather than by
      discipline.  d. Challenge process (for meeting prereqs in alternate manner)
      must by simplified.  Problem with Title V, as in prereqs not enforced
      fairly.  So, Banner will not yet be used to screen out those students without
      prereqs, until these issues get resolved.
   
   b. **College Council, Planning and Budget** – accreditation issues discussed

   c. **AFT** – no report

   d. **Professional Development** – spring workshops.  E-portfolios by Jeanne
      Mach of CSM.  effective use of groupwork in classes.  Panel with 3 profs.
      Early May, date TBD.  Proposal that theme be selected each year for
      faculty proposals, give priority to those that address theme.  Pros, cons.

   e. **Finance** – no report

   f. **SLO** – R. Lapuz.  District Assessment Workshop (2-29-08).  Regional
      meeting April 11 at Skyline.  Sponsored by District AS.  Looking for 2
      faculty to accompany Ray.

   g. Pres. Mohr presented an update from last night’s SMCCCD Board meeting,
      esp discussing the WASC report.  SLO workshops to be held twice in
      spring term to push completion of these for all courses.  What does faculty
      need to complete this task? Concerning Master Plan, interviewed Michael
      Moss to be consultant for this job.  Target – end of May for first draft, to
be overseen by Planning and Budget. Input by college community will be programmed in, with a minimum of extra time requirement.

7. **Old Business**
   a. Committee appointments - Nonan Villanueva replaced Pamela Ward-Smith on the counselor hiring committee.
   b. **Replacement needed for Spring Plenary of State AS** in Burlingame.
      Date: April 17-19. Thurs-Sat. Any interested faculty?
   c. **Great Teachers seminar** – How to select among applicants? FT vs PT? Seniority? Reward for service: Service as defined by committee work, club work, work on college issues. Suggestion of a requirement for attendee to report back to college on conference.
   d. **Basic Skills Committee** – proposal for a standing committee. Written proposal by M. Partlan. Discussion included issues of budget control, program review, articulation with Curriculum Committee, reporting campus-wide of progress, evaluation of programs, overlap with Basic Skills Coordinator responsibilities. Is a formal body of faculty needed to accomplish goals? Is it redundant and just adding to committee overload? Lots of discussion ensued, with comments from all present. Options:
      i. formal standing committee under AS
      ii. Office of Instruction develops committee, which then reports to AS
      iii. Committee could be a subset of Curriculum committee. Programs go beyond curriculum purview. No action taken. Reps are asked to gather input from their divisions. Discussion will be continued at March meeting.

8. **New Business**
   a. **SLO coordinator as part of ASGC?** SLO Coordinator, chosen by solicitation, selection by administration. Would it help get SLOs completed? Much discussion by all present. SLOs as part of Curriculum Committee responsibility.
   b. **Creation of SLO Committee?** Discussion ensued. Not overwhelming support for this committee.
   c. **M. Partlan** proposed focus on SLOs at next ASGC meeting. Wants presentation on assessments of SLOs.

9. **Meeting Adjourned**