



Cañada College Technology Committee

Critical Guidelines Questions

The Technology Committee Guidelines were approved in the Spring of 2019 provisionally through the Fall of 2019, with the agreement that the Committee would review how the Guidelines were working. At our December 4th meeting, the Committee discussed the Guidelines at length and voted unanimously to leave the Guidelines as they are for the time being. The committee came to the conclusion that we haven't had enough time to work with the processes on campus that involve technology with all the aspects of our Guidelines. The following critical questions are ones that we want to revisit the next time that we discuss the Committee's Guidelines.

- Reporting Structure: The Technology Committee currently reports to IPC. Is there an alternative that allows for more fair representation in the governance structure?
- Membership: Are there any changes to the composition that could make us a more balanced committee without getting to big and unwieldy?
 - Balance between Instruction and Student Services representation
 - Balance between Classified Staff, Faculty, and Administrators
- Purpose: Do we really do all of the things listed in our Purpose?
- Philosophy: Can we pare down or simplify the Philosophy section?
- Committee Structure: How does the connection between DEAC and the Technology Committee work? Does that need to be clarified, strengthened, revised?